# **EXECUTIVE DECISION**

## made by a Council Officer



# REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL OFFICER

Executive Decision Reference Number - COD30 22/23

### **Decision** ı Title of decision: Extension to the Appointment of Project Management Services for the Bereavement Infrastructure 2 Decision maker: Ruth Harrell, Director of Public Health 3 Report author and contact details: Sandra Pentney, 305939 or Sandra.Pentney@plymouth.gov.uk Decision to be taken: 4a Award the contract for continuation of Project Management services to Ward Williams Associates LLP 4b Reference number of original executive decision or date of original committee meeting where delegation was made: Executive Decision Ref L10 18/19 dated 23 July 2018 5 Reasons for decision:

Ward Williams have been undertaking the role of Project Manager since November 2021. The original agreement ended in December 2021 however due to delays in the programme related to value engineering and issues in the construction industry the contract now needs to be extended. Construction work is starting on site under an NEC4 contract with the total project sum of £21m this is significantly at risk without a suitably qualified and knowledgeable Project Management resource to oversee the project and minimise risk to PCC. The NHS SBS Framework offers a direct award option to Ward Williams Associates which fits into the start of works on site and ensures the continuity of project management cover on the scheme.

#### 6 Alternative options considered and rejected:

Allocate an alternative PM resource internally to the scheme – rejected as no resource is available and they would be joining the scheme starting from scratch. This would result in significantly increased risk dealing with a contractor under an NEC4 contract.

Open tender for Project Management consultancy – rejected on the basis that work is about to start on site and this process could take 3 months to complete. PCC would also need to identify suitable resource to manage this which is not available.

#### 7 Financial implications and risks:

The original appointment cost was £140,250 and the continuation of services will incur a further £176,893 making a total cost of £317,143. The costs related to this appointment are to be met from the approved budget for the new Crematorium facility in the Cabinet Paper dated 7 July 2022.

8	Is the decision a Key Decision?  (please contact <u>Democratic Support</u> for further advice)	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:				
			X	in the case of <b>capital</b> projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of <b>£3 million</b> in total				
			X	in the case of <b>revenue</b> projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of <b>£1 million</b>				
			×	is <b>significant</b> in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising <b>two or more</b> wards in the area of the local authority.				
8b	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions							
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	The Council is committed to delivering quality public services and as part of that commitment the Bereavement Service seeks to ensure it provides high quality remembrance and cremation service fit for the future.  The Bereavement Service delivers on the Corporate Plan values and priorities in the following ways:  It is Democratic by engaging with the funeral industr and increasing community engagement opportunities through open days and events as well as strengthening work with local schools and charities.  It is Responsible by caring about its impact on the customers and the funeral industry retaining the choice of funeral service locations.  It is Fair by creating a variety of opportunities for remembrance across a range of locations and costs.  It demonstrates Plymouth City Council's is committed to being Collaborative by working with our partners in the funeral industry and developing stronger ties with local bereavement charities.  It is a Caring Council that reduces health inequality by providing a modern facility that is fit for purpose meeting the needs of the whole city with sensitivity to differing faith requirements.  The project supports economic growth that benefits a many people as possible by investing in facilities that we can be proud to offer and become a destination of choice for remembrance.  A city that is green and sustainable by providing modern cremators with the latest abatement technology that meets emissions requirements and also provides alternative memorialisation offers such as						

10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)		The Plymouth Plan sets out a single vision for the city to 2034 with a clearly stated ambition to grow Plymouth's population to 300,000 as well as new developments on our urban fringes such as Sherford Community, crematoria and burial capacity are recognised as a key infrastructure requirement for a growing city.  The current and future cemetery and crematoria provision can contribute to Policy 25 Reducing Carbon Emissions by replacing old cremators with modern technology.					
			Furthermore the cemeteries maintained by the Bereavement Service total 40 hectares of green space and are integral to the achievement of Policy 24 Delivering Plymouth's Natural Network providing for the needs of people, wildlife and businesses from funeral directors, florists and masons and their associated supply chains.					
Urge	nt decisions							
11	implemented immediately in the		es		(If yes, please contact <u>Democratic</u> <u>Support</u> for advice)			
	interests of the Council or the public?	No	0	X	(If no, go to section 13a)			
I2a	Reason for urgency:							
I2b	Scrutiny Chair signature:			Date				
	Scrutiny Committee name:							
	Print Name:							
Cons	ultation							
I3a	Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision?		Yes	X				
	portiones unocceu sy une decision.		No		(If no go to section 14)			
13b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision		Cllr Pat Patel, Cabinet member for Customer Services, Culture, Leisure and Sport					
I3c	Date Cabinet member consulted		I November 2022					
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?		Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer			
			No	×				
15	Which Corporate Management		Name		Ruth Harrell			
	Team member has been consulted?		Job titl	e	Director of Public Health			

			Date consulted	24/10	)/22					
Sign	-off									
16	_	off codes from the relevant ertments consulted:	Democratic Sup (mandatory)	pport	DS73 22/23					
			Finance (manda	atory)	PI.22.2	Pl.22.23.273				
			Legal (mandato	ry)	MS/39426					
			Human Resource applicable)	ces (if	N/A					
			Corporate propapplicable)	erty (i	f	N/A				
			Procurement (i	f applic	able)	KK/PS/659/ED/1122				
Арр	endic	es								
17	Ref. Title of appendix									
	Α	Briefing report								
	В	Contract Award								
	С	EIA								
Con	fident	ial/exempt information								
Con 18a	Do y	ial/exempt information ou need to include any idential/exempt information?	brie	fing rep	are a secc ort and in	ndicate w	hy it is r	ot for		
	Do y	ou need to include any	No x of t	fing rep lication he Loca		ndicate word of Part I	hy it is r of Sched 1972 by	not for dule 12/		
	Do y	ou need to include any	No x of t	efing rep lication he Loca relevan	ort and in by virtue I Governi	ndicate word Part Indicate word Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	why it is r Tof Sched 1972 by w.	not for dule 12/		
	Do y	ou need to include any	No x of t	efing rep lication he Loca relevan	ort and ing the second of the	ndicate word Part Indicate word Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	why it is r Tof Sched 1972 by w.	not for dule 12		
	Do y confi	fou need to include any idential/exempt information?	No x of t the	efing rep lication he Loca relevan	ort and in by virtue I Governot box in I	of Part I ment Act 8b below	why it is r l of Sched t 1972 by w.	not for dule 12/ ticking		
18a	Confi	fou need to include any idential/exempt information?	No x of t the	efing rep lication he Loca relevan	ort and in by virtue I Governot box in I	of Part I ment Act 8b below	why it is r l of Sched t 1972 by w.	not for dule 12, ticking		
18a 18b	Confititle:	fou need to include any idential/exempt information?	No x of t the Exer	efing rep lication he Loca relevan nption 3	ort and in by virtue I Governit box in I Paragra	of Part of Par	why it is not lof Scheot 1972 by w.	not for dule 12, ticking		
18a 18b Back	Confititle:  Reground Please Backs disclothe in	fou need to include any idential/exempt information?  fidential/exempt briefing report  and Papers	No x of t the Exer I 2  rs relevant to the corelied on to a mater or an important dicate why it is not	efing replication he Loca relevantamention  3  decision erial ext part of for publication representation repres	ort and in by virtue I Governot box in I Paragra  4  in the table ent in pretthe work lication by	of Part of Par	why it is rill of Scheot 1972 by w.  her  6	t, which		
18a 18b	Confititle:  Regrour  Please Backs disclothe in Scheo	fidential/exempt information?  fidential/exempt briefing report  fidential/exempt briefing report	No x of the pub of the Exer I 2  rs relevant to the corelied on to a mater or an important dicate why it is not to 1972 by ticking the pub of t	efing replication he Loca relevanta mption  3  decision erial ext part of for public relevanta mption erial ext part of for public erial eria	ort and in by virtue I Governot box in I Paragra  4  in the table ent in pretthe work lication by	of Part of Par	why it is related to the school of School of School of School of School of Part 16	t, which		

Council Officer Signature										
20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.									
Signature		RHarrell	Date of	decisior	n   14	4 Novem	ber 2022	<u>)</u>		
Print Name		Ruth Harrell			'					